

For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6 LASER DESIGN INTERNATIONAL, LLC;
7 NORWOOD OPERATING COMPANY,

8 Plaintiffs,

9 v.

10
11
12 BJ CRYSTAL, INC, a California corporation;
13 CASHMAN PHOTO ENTERPRISES OF
14 NEVADA, a Nevada corporation; CRYSTAL
15 MAGIC, INC., a Florida Corporation; U.C.
16 LASER, INC., a New Jersey corporation;
17 VITRO LASER GROUP U.S.A., INC., a
18 Nevada corporation; JIMAC MARKETING
19 INC., a Canadian corporation; CONCORD
20 INDUSTRIES, INC., a Connecticut
21 corporation; C. STIEFELMAYER GMBH &
22 Co. KG, a German limited partnership;
23 CERION GMBH, a German limited liability
24 company; CRYSTAL CAPTURE INC., a
25 Texas corporation; CRYSTAL CAPTURE
26 INTERNATIONAL, LLC, a Nevada limited
liability company; G.W. PARTNERS
INTERNATIONAL, INC., a California
corporation; HIRSCH GIFT INC., a Texas
corporation; VISIONS IN CRYSTAL, INC., a
California corporation; VITRO LASER
GMBH, a German limited liability company,

27 Defendants.

28 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS

/

Now before the Court is Plaintiffs' Motion For Leave to File Second Amended
Consolidated Complaint for Patent Infringement. Defendants have filed a statement of non-

Lead Case No. C 03-1179 JSW
Consolidated with No. C 03-3905 JSW

**ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO FILE SECOND
AMENDED CONSOLIDATED
COMPLAINT**

1 opposition to the Plaintiffs' motion for leave to amend. Accordingly, in light of the lack of
2 opposition by the parties to these motions, and in light of the liberal standards set forth in
3 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15, the Court GRANTS Plaintiffs' motion for leave to file its
4 Second Amended Consolidated Complaint for Patent Infringement. The hearing date noticed
5 for October 7, 2005 is HEREBY VACATED.

6 Plaintiffs shall file their Second Amended Consolidated Complaint for Patent
7 Infringement within twenty (10) days of the date of this Order. Defendants shall file a
8 responsive pleading or appropriate motion within twenty (20) days after service of the Second
9 Amended Consolidated Complaint for Patent Infringement.

10 The Court FURTHER ORDERS that Plaintiff's pending motion for administrative relief
11 to file documents under seal is DENIED as MOOT.

12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13
14 Dated: September 21, 2005
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Jeffrey S. White

JEFFREY S. WHITE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE